

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,717	08/09/2001	Richard Fischbeck	00-106	6856
24124 7590 11/23/2007 BOHAN, MATHERS & ASSOCIATES, LLC PO BOX 17707			EXAMINER	
			A, PHI DIEU TRAN	
PORTLAND, ME 04112-8707			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3633	
	4			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

v	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	09/928,717	FISCHBECK, RICHARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phi D. A	3633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a repl ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	igust 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32,33,35,36,42-47 and 51-60</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 32,33,35,36,42-47 and 51-60 is/are re	jected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau		and and			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application			

09/928,717 Art Unit: 3633

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 51-59 in the reply filed on 8/21/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims 51-59 read on elected specie of figure 4. This is found persuasive and the restriction is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 32-33, 35-36, 42-43, 46-47, 51-56, 58, 59, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller (2905113) in view of Chamberlain and Seaich (4263758).

Fuller shows a structure comprising a plurality of curving elements, the elements being arranged such that a distance and a direction of displacement between any two elements of adjacently placed elements being infinitely variable between and minimum limit and a maximum limit (the limits not yet known), the curving elements being placed in an overlapping arrangement (figure 1) with a portion of the wall said adjacent element so as to form said shell, the portion of the base of the first circular element overlaps a portion of said wall of at least three adjacent curving elements, so as to form the shell having a closed surface, the overlapping arrangement further includes an overlap of a portion of the base of the first element with a portion of the wall of at least a second element, a third element, and a fourth element, a first amount of overlap between the first element and the second element forming a first strut distance and direction between the vertexes of the first element and the second element, a second amount

Application/Control Number:

09/928,717

Art Unit: 3633

of overlap between the first element and the third element forming a second strut distance and direction between the vertexes of the first element and the third element, a third amount of overlap between the first element and the fourth element forming a third strut distance and direction between the vertexes of the first and fourth elements, the first strut distance and direction being any distance and direction between the minimum and maximum limits (the limits are not yet known), the second strut distance and direction being any distance and direction between the maximum and minimum limits, the third strut distance is any distance and direction between the minimum and maximum limits, an opening is formed in the shell to provide access to an inner space of the shell, the element having an element length defined by a length of the wall from the base to the vertex and wherein the maximum limit is slightly less than a sum of the element lengths of any two adjacent elements, the element having an element length defined by a length of the wall from the base to the vertex and wherein the minimum limit is slightly greater than one-half of a sum of the element lengths of any two adjacent elements, the plurality of elements are arranged such that a distance and a direction of displacement between any two vertexes of adjacently placed elements provides an adjustability of the straight strut that is a strut distance that is infinitely variable between a minimum and a maximum limit.

Fuller does not disclose the elements being conical elements. each element of the plurality of curving elements having a base, a wall and a vertex, the element being a circular and said base being a circular base, the elements being arranged such that the vertex of the circular cone points outward from the shell.

Chamberlain discloses the use of a circular element to form the frame and shell of a structure.

Seaich discloses the use of conical elements to form a frame and shell of a strucutre.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fullern's structure to show the elements being conical elements with a base, a wall and a vertex as taught by Seaich and the elements being circular as taught by Chamberlain because it would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice to choose a certain shape for the element to form the frame and shape of the structure as long as it provides for sufficient support and coverage of the structure.

Per claims 42-43, 46-47, Fuller as modified further shows the conical elements having the angular deficit Alpha of the conical element varies in magnitude from the angular deficit Alpha of an adjacent conical element, the plurality of conical elements including two groups of conical elements, each group having different magnitude of the angular deficit Alpha, the conical elements being constructed of sheet material from a group of material consisting of paper fiber products, sheet metal, polymeric material, a fastening means (adhesives) for attaching the conical elements to one another, the conical elements are placed in an overlapping arrangement wherein a portion of the base of the first conical element overlaps with a portion of the cone wall of the adjacent conical element so as to form the shell.

3. Claims 44, 45, 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuller in view of Chamberlain (4270320) and Seaich as applied to claim 32 or 51 above and further in view of Fuller (3203144).

Fuller as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for a skin that is placed over the shell, the conical elements being arranged with the vertex of the conical elements facing inward and the vertex of other ones of the conical elements facing outward so as to form the shell having an irregular shape.

Fuller (...144) shows a skin that is placed over the shell, the conical elements being arranged with the vertex of the conical elements facing inward and the vertex of other ones of the conical elements facing outward so as to form the shell having an irregular shape.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fuller's modified structure to show a skin that is placed over the shell, the conical elements being arranged with the vertex of the conical elements facing inward and the vertex of other ones of the conical elements facing outward so as to form the shell having an irregular shape because having a skin over the structure would provide an extra layer of protection for the structure against the elements as taught by Fuller, and having the vertex facing inwardly and outwardly as taught by Fuller, would provide a shell having an aesthetic design.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-33, 35-36, 42-47, 51-60 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

09/928,717

Art Unit: 3633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

10/29/07